

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/813,152		03/21/2001	Tetsuya Nakabayashi	0033-0701P	1937
2292	7590	04/16/2004		EXAMINER	
		r KOLASCH & E	VINH, LAN		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1765	
				DATE MAILED: 04/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/813,152	NAKABAYASHI ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lan Vinh	1765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice i) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	etion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: 11 and 12.			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 2,4-7 and 10.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1.			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	oroved or b)□ disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10.⊠ Other: <u>Attachment</u>			
	NAD	INE G. NOR TON DRY PATENT EXAMINER	
	SUPERVISO	A PAILINI WAR WAR	
	Mall	\sim	
S. Patent and Trademark Office	-		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Application/Control Number: 09/813,152

Art Unit: 1765

Ţ

'n

Drawings

1. The drawings filed on 3/21/2001 are acceptable by the examiner

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 3/29/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the clamping apparatus shown in fig. 2 of Inoue et al has a fundamental different structure and is incapable of performing the function of supporting the substrate such that the film 5 does not over discharge whereas the step portion of the invention acts as a pedestal to support the substrate such that the film 5 does not over discharge. This argument is unpersuasive because the argument that clamping apparatus shown in fig. 2 of Inoue et al has a fundamental different structure and is incapable of performing the function of supporting the substrate such that the film 5 does not over discharge does not commensurate with the scope of claims 2 and 7 since claims 2 and 7 do not recite the limitation of "a step portion acts as a pedestal to support the substrate such that the film 5 does not over discharge". In addition, since the clamp apparatus as seen in fig. 2 of Inoue shows that the claw 133/a stepped portion is provided on a clamp/susceptor 132 to support a substrate 131 from the bottom, Inoue's claw 133 reads on "a stepped portion provides on a susceptor main body to support said substrate from the bottom" as recited in claims 2 and 7.

11

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lan Vinh whose telephone number is 571 272 1471. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571 272 1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LV

April 13, 2004

NADINE G. NORTON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER